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The manuscript uses the data from an original survey where the respondents were recruited from the Survey Sampling International’s (SSI) Online Panel. In the manuscript, Table A2 in the appendix provides a comparison between the SSI sample and the British Election Survey (BES)’s Wave 5 core sample on five variables – Age, Gender, Education, Political interest, and Party identifiers (proportion). The BES Wave 5 is administered in the similar period (31 March– 6 May, 2015) as ours (30 April – 4 May, 2015), and the core samples are representative of population. The basic demographics – age, gender, and education – as well as the proportion of party identifiers are nearly identical.

This online appendix provides replication of our main results in Figures 2-4 using population weights on our SSI sample (to match the marginal distribution of the age, education, and gender of the population). The population weights are calculated from the marginal distribution of these three variables from the 2011 Census. We use an R package, survey (Lumley 2016), to calculate post-stratification weights. As shown below, the results are consistent regardless of the application of population weights.

---

1Data from https://www.nomisweb.co.uk/census/2011/dc5102ev
Figure A.1: Replication of Figure 2: Comparison between weighted and unweighted sample

Economy:
- Eliminate the deficit [Cons, Lab, Libdem, UKIP]
- End austerity [Greens, (SNP)]

Education:
- Create more free schools [Libdem, Cons]
- Cut university tuition fees by a third [Lab]
- No university tuition fees for all students [Greens, (SNP)]
- No university tuition fees for natural science students [UKIP]

EU:
- Hold a referendum on Britain's EU membership [Cons, Greens]
- Leave the EU [UKIP]
- Reform the EU and keep Britain in it [Lab, Libdem, (SNP)]

Immigration:
- Four-year wait before EU migrants can claim benefits [Cons]
- Introduce point system to select skilled migrants. Five-year wait... [UKIP]
- Progressively remove migration controls [Greens]
- Restore full entry and exit border checks [Libdem]
- Two-year wait before EU migrants can claim benefits [Lab]

Jobs:
- Extend apprenticeships and vocational training [Cons, Libdem]
- Prioritise British citizens for jobs [UKIP]
- Increase minimum wage [Labs, Greens, (SNP)]

Note: The dots are the point estimates for the Average Marginal Component Effects (AMCE) of different policy positions on a respondent choosing the hypothetical party that takes the position. The horizontal lines indicate the 95-percent confidence intervals. The black dots and lines indicate the results from the model with the population weights. The gray dots and lines for the results without the population weights are shown for the comparison. The position of the Conservative party is the baseline in all five policy domains, and thus does not show confidence intervals.
Figure A.2: Replication of Figure 3 using weighted sample

Note: The symbols indicate the estimates for the Average Marginal Component Effects (AMCE) of different policy positions on the probability of choosing the party that takes the position. The horizontal lines indicate 95 percent confidence intervals. Models are run separately for each party’s intended voters. Baseline policy positions (the Conservatives’ positions) are not plotted.
Figure A.3: Replication of Figure 4 using weighted sample

Note: Each panel presents the results for a group of party supporters, except for the top left panel, which is for the general public (i.e., all respondents combined). The dots indicate predicted utility of the party manifestos and the horizontal lines indicate 95 confidence intervals. The black dots indicate the preference for the party each voter group intends to support.
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